

# Problem C. Twin Cookies

## Subtask 1

You can output what is given in the sample. Alternatively, ask 1, 2 and 3.

### Subtask 2

First ask 1 and 2. Let the returned value be x.

Then ask 10 and 20. Let the returned value be y.

Then, ask y - x and y + x. If y - x is returned, give x and y - x to the first child, and y to the second. If y + x is returned, give x and y to the first child, and y + x to the second.

#### Subtask 3

For  $i \in \{0, \ldots, 49\}$ , first ask  $2ni + (1 \dots n)$ , then  $2ni + (n + 1 \dots 2n)$ . Let  $x_i$  be the first value returned, and  $y_i$  the second. Define  $d_i = y_i - x_i$ .

We have  $1 \leq d_i \leq 2n - 1$ . Thus, for  $n \leq 25$ , by the pigeonhole principle, there must exist some pair  $i, j \in \{0, \ldots, 49\}$  of distinct indices such that  $d_i = d_j$ .

Now, give  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  to the first child, and  $x_j$ ,  $y_i$  to the second.

#### Subtasks 4-6

The expected solutions to the larger subtasks all employ the same idea, also based on the pigeonhole principle, at differing levels of optimisation.

If we perform k queries, there are  $2^k$  possible subset sums we can create with the k returned values. On the other hand, as long as all values we ask are at most H, the sum of any subset is at most kH. We can achieve H = kn by including the first n unused numbers in every query.

Thus, as long as  $2^k > k^2 n$ , we can guarantee that some two subsets have the same sum. Even for n = 5000, this is achieved for  $k \ge 22$ .

Given any two different subsets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  with the same sum, we can now remove  $S_1 \cap S_2$  from both. Removing only elements in both sets keeps the sets distinct and their sums equal, so giving the values in  $S_1 \setminus (S_1 \cap S_2)$  to the first child, and values in  $S_2 \setminus (S_1 \cap S_2)$  to the second is a solution.

It only remains to compute two such subsets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

#### Subtask 4

For subtask 4, we can maintain for every sum  $s \leq 101^2 \cdot n$  up to one subset  $S_s$  that achieves that sum. After one of our queries gives us the value x to work with, we update these subsets. For every sum s, we see if we can achieve sum s - x. If we can achieve that sum, the subset  $S_{s-x} \cup \{x\}$  achieves sum s. If the sum s was already achievable, we have found a pair of two different subsets of equal sum. Otherwise, we let  $S_s \leftarrow S_{s-x} \cup \{x\}$ . Note that we have to make the updates in order of decreasing s, to not create sets that contain multiple copies of x.

Maintaining the subsets as vectors, we do  $\mathcal{O}(k \cdot k^2 n \cdot k)$  work. For  $k \leq 22$  and  $n \leq 200$ , this is fast enough.

#### Subtask 5

Instead of storing the set  $S_s$  for every sum s, we store the value x that let us make the set  $S_s = S_{s-x} \cup \{x\}$ . To actually construct the set at sum s, we can then iteratively add x to the set, and subtract x from s.

Since we do not have to work with vectors, we trim one factor of k, giving a  $\mathcal{O}(k \cdot k^2 n)$  algorithm.

#### Subtask 6

A fast implementation of the  $\mathcal{O}(k^3n)$  algorithm for subtask 5 can pass even subtask 6. However, faster solutions exist as well:

We can still trim one factor of k: notice that as long as no sum is achieved by two different subsets, there are exactly  $2^t$  achievable sums after adding t numbers. Thus, if we maintain a sorted vector  $C_i$  of achievable sums at step i, we can compute  $C_{i+1} = C_i \bigcup (C_i + x_i)$  in linear time to  $|C_i|$  by merging two



sorted vectors, and thus we can compute all  $C_i$  in  $\mathcal{O}(k^2n)$  work total.

Given an achievable sum s at step i, we can easily compute a set corresponding to it: if  $s - x_i \in C_{i-1}$ , find the set corresponding to sum  $s - x_i$  and step i - 1 and add  $x_i$  to it, otherwise find the set corresponding to sum s and step i - 1.

Given the first sum s and step i that is achievable in two ways, we can then construct the two distinct sets of equal sum by constructing  $s - x_i$  and s at step i - 1, and adding  $x_i$  to the first.

Alternatively, a  $\mathcal{O}(k^3n/\log n)$  solution could be achieved using bitsets. We maintain bitsets  $B_i$  with  $k^2n$  bits, with a 1 at position s if the sum s is achievable after adding the first i values  $x_i$  we receive (so  $B_i$  is a bitset representation of  $C_i$ ). We have  $B_{i+1} = B_i \parallel B_i \ll x_i$ , where  $\parallel$  is the bitwise OR operation, and  $\ll$  the right shift operation. Using AND instead of OR lets us check if any sum can be achieved in two ways. Once we find such a sum and step, we construct the solution as before.

By changing from the first approach to the second after  $k - \log \log n$  steps, we could have complexity  $\mathcal{O}(k^2 n \log \log n / \log n)$  with the same memory usage, but this is wildly unnecessary for this problem.